



Guest Editorial

Bio-monitoring the elimination of folic acid-preventable spina bifida and anencephaly

A B S T R A C T

This is an invited editorial that praises the idea of serum/red cell folate monitoring of the elimination of folic acid-preventable spina bifida.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Since 1991, we have had unequivocal evidence that folate deficiency is the primary cause of spina bifida and anencephaly (SBA) in most of the world [1,2]. Thus, if all women of reproductive age consume enough folic acid, we can eliminate Folic Acid-Preventable Spina Bifida and Anencephaly (FAP SBA) by primary prevention. It is seldom that we have such an opportunity to prevent severe and common birth defects. Unfortunately, we are far from accomplishing the elimination of these birth defects [3].

A key feature of the successful polio eradication campaign has been timely monitoring of the progress or the lack of it and the ability to determine when polio is eradicated in a country [4]. The efforts to eliminate folic acid-preventable spina bifida and anencephaly also need a reliable, timely indicator to determine when required folic acid fortification programs have been successfully implemented and when a state or a country has prevented all or almost all FAP SBA.

Pre- and post-fortification serum/plasma and/or red blood cell folates have been measured in a variety of research designs—from nationally representative surveys to convenience samples [5–8]. These data show that before folic acid fortification the mean serum/plasma folate concentration is about 4 ng/ml and rises almost immediately to 8 or more ng/ml after the successful implementation of required folic acid fortification programs. Red cell folate levels also rise after a period of several months [9]. Thus, the simple measurement of plasma or red cell folate can provide the evidence needed to know if the fortification was successfully implemented.

The implementation of a folic acid fortification program may not provide enough folic acid to eliminate FAP SBA. If we knew the serum/plasma or RBC folate concentration that would assure the prevention of all FAP SBA, surveys of folate concentration in women of reproductive age could determine whether or not all had folate concentrations above this prevention cut-point. If all women were above this cut-point, then we would know that FAP SBA was eliminated in that country. Likewise, if there were a significant proportion below this prevention cut-point, we would know that there was work to be done in the country to increase folic acid consumption to a level sufficient to get all women above the prevention cut-point. There has yet to be a generally agreed upon

serum/plasma or RBC folate level that assures the elimination of FAP SBA [10]. In the absence of such a consensus, Bar-Oz and his colleagues in a paper in this issue assume that elimination or near elimination would occur when all women have a RBC folate of at least 900 nmol/L. Given the available evidence, their assumption is a reasonable one, albeit one that might go up or down with new data. They show that, before fortification, almost no women in Ontario had RBC folate levels above 900 nmol/L. After fortification, 40% remained below this cut point and were assumed to be at unnecessary risk. These and other data show that folic acid fortification of flour in Canada has been highly effective in raising RBC folate concentrations and there has been a substantial prevention of FAP SBA [7,11,12]. It is likely that, if the concentration of folic acid in flour doubled in Canada, most women would have RBC folate concentrations above 900 nmol/L and most, if not all, FAP SBA would be prevented.

As important as their paper is in assessing current prevention in Ontario, it is more important that they have applied a biomarker approach to monitor the progress towards the elimination of FAP SBA. Their approach should be considered the current best way to determine the proportion of women at unnecessary risk for FAP SBA. It is likely that their method can be improved. We need to build consensus and perform studies that will validate the most appropriate epidemiological and laboratory methods to assess the progress towards the elimination of FAP SBA in every country. A serum/plasma and/or RBC folate survey would likely be a more timely and less expensive approach to evaluate prevention than would surveys of pregnancies affected with SBA. Since blood folates rise after fortification, within a year of the implementation of fortification programs, one can estimate the proportion of women still at risk of having pregnancies with FAP SBA. It would take several years for enough babies to be born with spina bifida and anencephaly, for the data to be collected and analyzed, and for policy recommendations to be made about needed changes in the fortification program. A folate bio-monitoring program would be less expensive and could provide key data for policy within a year of fortification.

Currently no group is funded to design and implement a folate bio-monitoring program. For polio eradication, the CDC has had

substantial and sustained funding to provide leadership and technical assistance to the polio eradication effort. Appropriations by Congress, currently about \$200 million a year, have been essential to the success of this program. Given the success that CDC has had in prevention, it would seem reasonable for Congress also to provide CDC with sufficient, sustained resources to provide leadership and technical assistance to accelerate the elimination of FAP SBA around the world. Alternatively, foundations, other governments or industries could provide the support for such a center.

This global technical assistance center and network should provide any country the epidemiological and laboratory support to monitor the progress towards the elimination of FAP SBA. There will be many activities and projects for this center. Initially the center should:

1. Help countries to conduct surveys of serum/plasma or RBC folate to determine whether or not mandatory folic acid fortification programs have been successfully implemented.
2. Establish a task force to prepare and distribute a manual on how to monitor progress towards the elimination of FAP SBA.
3. Advocate for and use new research that will improve our ability to determine progress towards elimination in an accurately and timely manner.

Work to achieve mandatory folic acid fortification should not wait for the establishment of this center or its products. The mandatory folic acid fortification programs in North America and in Chile have been highly successful in preventing birth defects, even though no country is known to have eliminated them. There remains an urgent need for countries that have yet to require fortification to implement such programs now. Failure to do so means that thousands of children will be born each year with preventable spina bifida and anencephaly and that millions of people will have unnecessary folate deficiency anemia. Readers of this journal are in a unique position to advocate for the urgent elimination of FAP SBA. We hope that as many as possible will work to provide the political will to prevent these birth defects.

Disclosure

Godfrey Oakley is a co-inventor on a CDC-Johnson and Johnson patent that would cover adding folic acid to contraceptive pills. He has consulted for Johnson and Johnson on this issue.

References

- [1] MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. Prevention of neural tube defects: results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. *MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. Lancet* 1991;338(8760):131–7.

- [2] Czeizel AE, Dudas I. Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptional vitamin supplementation. *New Engl J Med* 1992;327(26):1832–5.
- [3] Bell KN, Oakley Jr GP. Tracking the prevention of folic acid-preventable spina bifida and anencephaly. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* 2006;76(9):654–7.
- [4] Smith J, Leke R, Adams A, Tangermann RH. Certification of polio eradication: process and lessons learned. *Bull World Health Organ* 2004;82(1):24–30.
- [5] Erickson JD. Folic acid and prevention of spina bifida and anencephaly. 10 years after the U.S. Public Health Service recommendation. *Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report Recommendations & Reports*. 2002; 51(RR-13):1–3.
- [6] Hertrampf E, Cortes F. Folic acid fortification of wheat flour: Chile. *Nutr rev* 2004;62(6 Pt 2):S44–8 [discussion S9].
- [7] Liu S, West R, Randell E, Longerich L, O'Connor KS, Scott H, et al. A comprehensive evaluation of food fortification with folic acid for the primary prevention of neural tube defects. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* September 2004;4(1):20.
- [8] Green TJ, Skeaff CM, Venn BJ, Rockell JE, Todd JM, Khor GL, et al. Red cell folate and predicted neural tube defect rate in three Asian cities. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2007;16(2):269–73.
- [9] Pietrzik K, Lamers Y, Bramswig S, Prinz-Langenohl R. Calculation of red blood cell folate steady state conditions and elimination kinetics after daily supplementation with various folate forms and doses in women of childbearing age. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86(5):1414–9.
- [10] Pitkin RM. Folate and neural tube defects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;85(1):285S–8S.
- [11] Ray JG, Cole DE, Boss SC. An Ontario-wide study of vitamin B12, serum folate, and red cell folate levels in relation to plasma homocysteine: is a preventable public health issue on the rise? *Clin Biochem* July 2000;33(5):337–43.
- [12] Ray JG, Vermeulen MJ, Boss SC, Cole DE. Declining rate of folate insufficiency among adults following increased folic acid food fortification in Canada. *Can J Public Health* 2002;93(4):249–53.

Godfrey P. Oakley Jr.*

Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health of Emory University, 1518 Clifton Road NW, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Karen N. Bell

Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health of Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

Robert L. Brent^{a,b}

^a *Department of Pediatrics, Jefferson Medical College, PA, USA*

^b *Laboratory of Clinical and Environmental Teratology, Research Department Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, DE, USA*

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 404 727 2656/678 613 6918; fax: +1 404 325 6918.

E-mail addresses: gpoakley@mindspring.com (G.P. Oakley Jr.), kbell@sph.emory.edu (K.N. Bell), yedney@NEMOURS.ORG (R.L. Brent)

3 June 2008

Available online 7 June 2008